A Novel Denoising Strategy for Ultrasound Elastography



K. N. Magdoom^{1,2,3}, Julian A. Rey^{1,4} and Peter J. Basser¹

¹Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD, United States, ²The Military Traumatic Brain Injury Initiative (MTBI²), Uniformed Services University of the Health Sciences, Bethesda, MD, United States, ³The Henry M Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine (HJF) Inc., Bethesda, MD, United States, ⁴National Institute of General Medical Sciences, Bethesda, MD, United States



MILITARY Traumatic Brain Injury Initiative



Disclaimer

The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose. The views, information or content, and conclusions presented do not necessarily represent the official position or policy of, nor should any official endorsement be inferred on the part of the Uniformed Services University, the Department of Defense, the U.S. Government or the Henry M. Jackson Foundation for the Advancement of Military Medicine, Inc.



Palpation Exam





https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Palpation Mariappan et al. (2010) Clinical Anatomy 23:497-511



US Elastography – General Principle





Compatibility Conditions



Strain tensor $\frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{yy}}{\partial x^2} = 2 \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y}$

Enforcing the above condition on

the strain tensor ensures there are no

cracks, slips, folds in the material which the noise would mimic.



Denoising Strategy

 $\min_{u} \|\vec{u} - \vec{u}_{measured}\|_2$

s.t.

$$\begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xx}}{\partial y^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{yy}}{\partial x^2} - 2 \frac{\partial^2 \varepsilon_{xy}}{\partial x \partial y} \end{vmatrix} \approx 0 \\ \varepsilon_{xx} = \frac{\partial u_x}{\partial x}, \varepsilon_{yy} = \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial y}, \varepsilon_{xy} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\partial u_x}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial u_y}{\partial x} \right)$$

Non-linear differentiation

Essentially non-oscillatory (ENO) scheme

$$i-1 \qquad i+1$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} = \begin{cases} \frac{f_{i+1} - f_i}{\Delta x} & |f_{i+1} - f_i| < |f_i - f_{i-1}| \\ \frac{f_i - f_{i-1}}{\Delta x} & Otherwise \end{cases}$$



Finite Element Simulation

Original Deformed mm 20 ____ 95 mm

Background Young's Modulus 18 kPa Poisson's ratio 0.49

Orange Inclusion (Stiff) Young's Modulus 36 kPa Poisson's ratio 0.49

Green Inclusion (Soft) Young's Modulus 6 kPa Poisson's ratio 0.49



Displacement Profile & Strain



Denoising Efficacy

DNR = 50DNR = 100DNR = 200Actual equivalent strain Additive Gaussian noise was added to the simulated displacement field to test efficacy. DNR – displacement noise ratio defined as ratio of maximum equivalent Denoised displacement (5 mm) and noise strain standard deviation Contrast significantly improved with denoising 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.4 0.0 0.4



Strain Elastography Measurement



- Sample: CIRS elasticity QA phantom (Model 049A)
- US system : Verasonics Vantage 128
- **Probe**: C5-2v (4 MHz center frequency)
- Pre- and post-compression B-mode images acquired using ray scanning.
- 2D displacement measured with speckle tracking using normalized cross-correlation of images.



Results

Actual

Denoised

Axial displacement

Lateral displacement

Equivalent Strain







Conclusion

- We have demonstrated a novel strategy to denoise deformation fields by enforcing compatibility conditions using simulation and experimental data.
- The method is general and does not make assumptions about the material properties.
- It can be easily applied to various elastography techniques not restricted to strain elastography



Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Intramural Research Program of the NICHD. This work was funded by the Department of Defense in the Military Traumatic Brain Injury Initiative under award, HU0001-22-2-0058.

